XX. 1—7. TILE ACTS. 787   
   
 and of the Thessalonians, \* Aristarchus and Sccundus ; and °¢%;332%,,   
 4 Gaius of Derbe, and \* Timotheus ; and of Asia, fT ychicus ah. sx.   
 and &Trophimus. 5 These going before tarried for us at ftp ;   
 Troas. 6 And ¢we sailed away from Philippi, after » the 4   
 days of unleavened bread, and came unto them ‘to ar   
 Troas in five days; where we abode seven days. 7 And ,2°:%   
 upon \* the first day of the week, when 4 ¢Ze disciples came Ma   
   
   
 or,   
 © render, We Ourselves. Rey.   
 a read, with all our ancient authorities, we.   
   
   
 Asia: Trophimus (ch. xxi. 29) Aristar- ch. xvi. 10 (where see note), that tho   
 chus (ch. xxvii. 2), probably others, as anonymous narrator was in very intimate   
 the bearers of the alms from Macedonia connexion with Panl; nud on this occasion   
 and Coriuth (1 Cor. xvi. 3, 4), we find him remaining with hin when the   
 him to Jerusalem. Sopater [the son] rest went forward. going before   
 of Pyrrhus, a Berean] This mention of his &e. . .] For what reason, is not said: but   
 father is perhaps made to distinguish him we may well conceive, that if bore the   
 (?) from Sosipater, who was with Paul at contributions of the churches, a better op-   
 Corinth (Rom. xvi.21). The name Pyrrbus portunity, or safer ship, may have deter-   
 has in our copies been erased as that of an mined Paul to send them on, he bimself   
 unknown person, and because the mention having work to do at Philippi; or perhaps,   
 of the father is unusual in the N. T.:—no again, as Meyer suggests, Paul may have   
 possible reason can be given for its énxser- remained behind to keep the days of un-   
 tion by copyists. Aristarchus] See leavened bread. But then why should not   
 ch. xix. 29; xxvii. 2; Col. iv.10; Philem. they have remained too? The same motive   
 24.—Secundus is altogether unknown.— may not have operated with them: but in   
 ‘The Gaius here is not the Gaius of ch. xix. that case no reason can be given why they   
 29, who was a Macedonian. The epithet should have been sent on except as above.   
 of Derbe is inserted for distinction’s It is not impossible that both may have   
 Timotheus was from Lystra, which pro- been combined : before the end of the days   
 bably gives oceasion to his being mentioned of unleavened bread, a favourable oppor-   
 here in close company with Gaius of Derbe. tuuity occurs of sailing to Troas, of which   
 ‘The name Caius (Gaius) was far too com- they, with their charge, avail themselves :   
 mon to ereate any difficulty in there Paul and Luke waiting till the end of   
 being two, or three (see note, ch. xix. 29) the feast, and taking the risk of a less   
 companions of Paul so called. of Asia, desirable conveyance. That the feast had   
 Tychicus and Trophimus] Tychicus is something to do with it, the mention of   
 mentioned Eph, vi.21, as sent (to Ephesus after the days of unleavened bread seems   
 from Rome) with that Epistle. He bore to imply : such notices being not inserted   
 also that to the Colossians, Col. iv. 7, at ordinarily by Luke for the sake of   
 the same time. See also 2 Tim. iv. 12; dates. The assumption made by somo   
 ‘Vit. 12.—Trophimus, an Ephesian, was that the rest of the company sailed at onco   
 in Jerusalem with Paul, ch, xxi. 29: and for Troas from Corinth, while Paul and   
 had been, shortly before 2 Tim. was written, Luke went by land to Philippi, is incon-   
 left sick at Miletus. (See Introduction to from shortly the words used in when opening   
 2 Tim. § 1. 5.) 5. These] he per- Ephesus 4.—From on the notice here, we learn   
 sons mentioned in ver. 4: not only Tychi- must Paul’s stay in Europe : on this voyage   
 cus and Trophimus. The mention of was about three-quarters (Neapolis) in ch.   
 ‘Timotheus in this list, from xvi. 11, seems to have been made in two   
 those included under the word us, has days. It appears that they arrived on a   
 created an insuperable difficulty to those Monday.—Compuare notes, 2 Cor. ii. 12 {1   
 who suppose Timotheus himself to be the 7. upon the first day of the week}   
 narrator of what follows : which certainly We have here an intimation of the con-   
 cannot be got over (as De Wette) by sup-   
 posing that ‘limotheus might have inserted   
 himself in the list, and then tacitly ex-   
 cepted himself by the us afterwards. The   
 truth is apparent here, as well as before,